

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,248	04/08/2004	Eric G. Lovett	GUID.618PA (03-553)	8387	
31271	7590 03/14/2007 ORTH & FUNK 11 C		EXAM	EXAMINER BOCKELMAN, MARK FUNIT PAPER NUMBER	
8009 34TH AV	LLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC 9 34TH AVE S. BOCKELMAN, MARK ITE 125	AN, MARK			
SUITE 125 MINNEAPOLI	S. MN 55425		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- ,				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	1 No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/821,248	3	LOVETT ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Mark W. Bo		3766			
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	pears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS I - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply i - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. by be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 136(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION at, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 November 2006</u> .						
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
ciosed in ac	cordance with the practice under E	±x paπe Qua	1916, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claim							
	95 is/are pending in the application						
•	bove claim(s) <u>41-77 and 81-95</u> is/a	are withdrawi	n from consideration.				
·= ··-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	40 and 78-80 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
,			4-				
Application Papers	ation is abjected to by the Evenine						
• —	ation is objected to by the Examine µ(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		Tobjected to by the	Fyaminer			
•	y not request that any objection to the						
, ,	t drawing sheet(s) including the correc	• .					
	declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S	•						
	ment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	or 35 II S C & 119/a)-(d) or (f)			
	Some * c) None of:	r priority und	ei 35 0.3.C. g 119(a)-(u) or (i).			
	fied copies of the priority document	ts have been	received.				
	fied copies of the priority document			ion No.			
	es of the certified copies of the prio						
•	cation from the International Burea	•					
* See the attac	ched detailed Office action for a list	t of the certifi	ied copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	en 1/272 255			· (DTO 442)			
1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftspers	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) N Information Disclosu	ure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal (
Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite <u>4-8-04</u> ,2-14-05,8-26-65,1	11-13-06	6)				

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention I, claims 1-40, 78-80 in the reply filed on 11-13-2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claimed inventions have a common utility and are thus not restrictable. This is not found persuasive because according to applicant's arguments the claim devices won't work without electrodes. The examiner disagrees, applicant's specification states that other sensors may be on the housing and one may simply used the claimed devices of inventions III and IV with the other types of sensors. The devices have no common utility as claimed because as noted by applicant, the devices have no utility without the electrodes. Invention I positively recites the non-intrathoracic electrodes while inventions III and IV do not. Moreover, more inventions III and IV do not require the first configurations to be in the absence of the lead electrodes, and III does not require each configuration to be used for both stimulation and sensing. Finally, the types of electrodes specified in the claims of inventions III and IV are merely intended use, all that is claimed is the controller and it's ability to connect to various electrodes, whatever their intended use may be.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP

Art Unit: 3766

§ 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification lacks antecedent basis for each of the means clauses recited in claims 78-80.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim recites heart chambers as part of the invention rather than as an intended use, which thus includes part of a human within its scope, which is impermissible under 35 USC 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 78-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 78-80 have no corresponding structure identified in the specification to allow the examiner to identify the elements and scope of the claims. The examiner hereby requires the applicant to amend the specification to identify all of the elements that correspond to their "means" elements.

Art Unit: 3766

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 4

Claims 1-40, 78-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1, 32, 78 and 79 state that the various configurations can be accomplished by using one or more subcutaneous electrodes and one or more intrathoracic electrodes. The examiner cannot find a teaching in the specification that would allow each of these configurations to be carried out with only one electrode each. It would appear that applicant's require at least two subcutaneous electrodes to provide a complete circuit. Applicant's figures 6-8 only show one subcutaneous electrode, it is not understood how pacing and or defibrillation can be carried out by such without a return pathway. In addition, applicant's specification does not show a single configuration in which sensing and stimulation take place. It would appear that according to applicant's specification the device requires swiching from sensing configuration to a stimulation configuration or one would short circuit the other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3766

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-6, 40, 78-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by KenKnight USPN 6,148,230. KenKnight teach an implantable stimulator with an intrathoracic lead 10 and a subcutaneous lead 20. The subcutaneous lead has sensing electrodes 24 and 22 that can be used to sense cardiac activity and a defibrillation electrode 26 that may be use to stimulate (defibrillate). Intrathoracic electrodes on the transvenous catheter may be used for sensing and stimulation as well in a different configuration. Essentially all of the structure seen in applicant's specification with respect to figures 5-8 can be

Art Unit: 3766

found in Kenknight's figures. To the extent applicant adequately shows how the first configuration accomplishes sensing and stimulation "capability" with a single subcutaneous lead and a single electrode as claimed in the absence of a transvenous electrode, KenKnight is capable of doing the same. The examiner considers the housing lead coupler 16 to be an interface. KenKnight teaches various electrode arrangements may be used and thus the controller and system is configurable thereto. Along with detecting tachycardiac and fibrillation and responding thereto KenKnight states that the therapy may be pacing as well. Since the can housing may be used in the treatments unipolar and bipolar electrode stimulation is envisioned. Pacing in general, which KenKnight can treat bradycardia and tachycardia. The various electrode arrangements provide for a multisite interface. The device includes a telemetry circuit for programming the device's operation and thus can be used to select configurations. The device may be configured to either treat with an atrial pulse or a ventricular pulse with different electrode configurations (column 3 lines 63-67) which constitute different functions. The electrodes are capable of sensing all of the intended use functions state in applicant's claim 33-40 sense sensing is the first step "associated" with detecting the intended uses. KenKnight teaches the transveneous lead may contain multiple electrodes, which possibly could be placed in a single chamber.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

Art Unit: 3766

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWB

February 5, 2007

Mark BOCKELMAN

MARK BOCKELMAN